

CMIT ID

00219-03-E-MIPS

Title

Documentation of Current Medications in the Medical Record

Steward Organization Group

Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)

Committee

MSR Recommendation Group

Current Program Use

Merit-Based Incentive Payment System (MIPS)

CMS Program History

- Finalized through rulemaking for inclusion in the Merit-based Incentive Payment System (MIPS) in 2016.
- Implemented in MIPS starting with Performance Year (PY) 2017.

Description

Percentage of visits for which the eligible clinician attests to documenting a list of current medications using all immediate resources available on the date of the encounter.

Numerator

Eligible clinician attests to documenting, updating, or reviewing the patient's current medications using all immediate resources available on the date of the encounter.

Numerator Exceptions

N/A

Numerator Exclusions

N/A

Denominator

All visits occurring during the 12-month measurement period.

Denominator Exceptions

N/A

Denominator Exclusions

None

Cascade of Meaningful Measures Priority

Safety

Level of Analysis

Clinician: Group/Practice, Clinician: Individual

Care Setting

Hospital: Outpatient

CBE Endorsement History

- Initial endorsement, 2008
- Measure retired and endorsement removed, Patient Safety, Spring Cycle 2020

Link to Endorsement Measure Record: [Documentation of Current Medications in the Medical Record](#)

CBE Endorsement Status

Endorsed

About this Analysis (Measure Score by PY)

Impact Summary: This measure supports the Merit-based Incentive Payment System (MIPS) goals of improving the quality and safety of care for patients and promoting higher-value care by ensuring clinicians document a current and complete medication list at each visit, which supports accurate clinical decision-making and helps reduce preventable medication errors and adverse drug events.

For the eQm for this measure, clinician performance remained unchanged from 2021 to 2024, showing consistent documentation of a complete patient medication list. Outliers in clinician performance at the low end of the performance range shown in Figure 1 indicate that some clinicians continue to perform at lower levels and suggest there are still opportunities for improvement. The eQm identified a medication documentation rate of 99% as achievable; improving performance among lower-scoring clinicians could help ensure 12% more patients have documentation of current medications in their medical record, potentially leading to better health outcomes.

For this measure, Battelle reviewed the following publicly available datasets at [Benchmarks - QPP](#):

- 2026 MIPS Quality Benchmarks.csv (referred to as year 2024 in this assessment)
- 2025 MIPS Quality Benchmarks.csv (referred to as year 2023 in this assessment)
- 2024 MIPS Quality Benchmarks.csv (referred to as year 2022 in this assessment)
- 2023 MIPS Quality Benchmarks.csv (referred to as year 2021 in this assessment)

Battelle analyzed benchmark values for “Measure_ID”=130 and “CMS eCQM ID”=CMS68v15 to generate eCQM benchmark data.

About Figure 1: Figure 1 is a boxplot that shows how rates have changed based on the most recent 4 years of data available. For each year, the boxplot displays a box with lines and dots to help visualize the range and distribution of rates. The dots represent the minimum and maximum rates, and the line connecting them shows the range of the rates. The box itself covers the middle 60% of the rates, from the 20th to the 80th percentile. A “+” sign shows the average rate. This type of graph makes overall trends in rates over time as well as the consistency and spread of the results easier to visualize.

Figure 1 (Measure Score by PY)




Figure 1. Boxplot of Performance Rate by Year

Interpretation (Measure Score by PY)

Figure 1 Interpretation: Performance is virtually unchanged across the 4 years. Each year, there are some clinicians with very low performance, but the 20th percentile has consistently been about 80%. For this measure, a higher rate indicates better quality of care.

About this Analysis (Score Distro)

About Table 1: Table 1 illustrates the distribution of performance rates across deciles in the most recent year of data available.

Interpretation (Score Distro)

Table 1 Interpretation: More than 50% of clinicians have a performance rate greater than 95%, and more than 10% of clinicians have a rate of 100%. If the average performance of Decile 8 (about 99%) is considered a plausible, achievable performance rate, and the clinicians in Deciles 1 through 7 improved to reach that rate, the estimated percentage of patients with documentation of current medications in their medical record would go up by about 12% (from 87.1% to about 99%), potentially leading to better health outcomes.

Table 1 (Score Distro)

Table 1. Importance (Decile by Performance Rate, 2024)

Mean	Decile 1	Decile 2	Decile 3	Decile 4	Decile 5	Decile 6	Decile 7	Decile 8	Decile 9	Decile 10	
Rate	87.1	2.9-61.0	61.0-80.4	80.5-89.0	89.0-93.3	93.3-96.0	96.0-97.8	97.8-98.9	98.9-99.6	99.7-100	100

Importance Criterion Definition

The Meaningfulness criterion will be evaluated as part of the full Preliminary Assessment available in September.

Criterion Definition

This criterion will be evaluated as part of the full Preliminary Assessment available in September.

Criterion Definition

This criterion will be evaluated as part of the full Preliminary Assessment available in September.