



Agenda



- Welcome and Review of Meeting Ground Rules
- Roll Call
- Overview of E&M Process and Advisory Group Meeting Procedures
- Discussion of Spring 2024 Measures
- Next Steps
- Adjourn



Housekeeping Reminders




- Housekeeping reminders:
 - The system will allow you to mute/unmute yourself and turn your video on/off throughout the event.
 - Please raise your hand and unmute yourself when called on.
 - Please lower your hand and mute yourself following your question/comment.
 - Please state your first and last name if you are a call-in user.
 - We encourage you to keep your video on throughout the event.
 - Feel free to use the chat feature to communicate with Battelle staff.
- If you are experiencing technical issues, please contact the project team via chat on the virtual platform or at PQMsupport@battelle.org.



Using the Zoom Platform






- Click the lower part of your screen to mute/unmute, start, or pause video.
- Click on the participant or chat button to access the full participant list or the chat box.
- To raise your hand, select the raise hand button under the reactions tab.




Using the Zoom Platform (Phone View)





- Click the lower part of your screen to mute/unmute, start, or pause video.
- Click on the participant button to view the full participant list.
- Click on (3A) "more" button to view the chat box, (3B) show closed captions, or to (3C) raise your hand. To raise your hand, select the raised hand function under the reactions tab.





Meeting Ground Rules



- Respect all voices.
- Remain engaged and actively participate.
- Keep your comments concise and focused.
- Be respectful and allow others to contribute.
- Share your experiences.
- Learn from others.



Project Team

- Nicole Brennan, MPH, DrPH, Executive Director
- Brenna Rabel, MPH, Deputy Director
- Jeff Geppert, Measure Science Team Lead
- Quintella Bester, PMP, Senior Program Manager
- Matthew Pickering, PharmD, Principal Quality Measure Scientist
- Anna Michie, MHS, PMP, Social Scientist IV
- Beth Jackson, PhD, MA, Social Scientist IV
- Adrienne Cocci, MPH, Social Scientist III

- Stephanie Peak, PhD, Social Scientist III
- Isaac Sakyi, MSGH, Social Scientist III
- Jessica Lemus, MA, Social Scientist II
- Olivia Giles, MPH, Social Scientist I
- Elena Hughes, MS, Social Scientist I
- Sarah Rahman, Social Scientist I



Roll Call





Primary Prevention Committee Advisory Group Members

 Amir Qaseem, MD, PhD, MHA, MRCP (London), FACP Zhenqiu Lin, PhD

- Jon Burdick, MD
- Lucy Marius
- Mahir Hussein
- Melissa Eggen, MPH, PhDc
- Paula Farrell, MS, BSN, RN, CPHQ
- Peter Herrera
- Pooja Kothari, RN, MPH
- Ramsey Abdallah, MBA, PMP, CMQ/OE, CPHQ, CPPS, FACHDM
- Rebecca Angove, PhD
- Shoshana Levy, MD, MPH, FACPM



Overview of E&M Process






Six Major Steps of the E&M Process



- 1. Intent to Submit
- 2. Full Measure Submission
- 3. Measure Public Comment Period
 - Public Comment Listening Sessions
 - Advisory Group Meetings
- 4. E&M Committee Review
- 5. Endorsement Decision
 - Recommendation Group Meetings
- 6. Appeals Period (as warranted)





Advisory Group Meeting



Step:


- Advisory Group members convene to comment on strengths and limitations of the measure(s) and ask questions of developers/stewards.
- Developers/stewards respond to Advisory Group member questions and feedback.

• Timing:

One to two months prior to endorsement meeting

Outputs:

 Summary of Advisory Group member feedback, including frequently asked questions (FAQs), and developer/steward responses to Advisory Group feedback and FAQs, to be posted to the Partnership for Quality Measurement (PQM) website.





Advisory Group Meeting Procedures





Advisory Group Measure Review





Battelle









3. Developer/steward asked to respond to feedback and questions





Discussion of Spring 2024 Measure





CBE #2483 – Gains in Patient Activation Measure (PAM) Scores at 12 Months

Item	Description	
Measure Description	The measure is the percentage of patients who achieve a 3-point increase in their Patient Activation Measure® (PAM®) survey score within 12 months. The outcome measure demonstrates how a clinician group performed in providing best care to its patients by quantifying the proportion of patients who had at least a 3-point score change. The PAM surveys the knowledge, skill, and confidence necessary for self-management on a 0-100 point scale that can be broken down into 4 levels from low activation to high activation. The 13 (or 10) item survey has strong measurement properties and is predictive of most health behaviors, many clinical outcomes, and patient experience. PAM® scores are also predictive of health care costs, with lower scores predictive of higher costs.	
Developer/Steward	Insignia Health	
New or Maintenance	Maintenance (last reviewed: Spring 2016)	
Current or Planned Use	Payment Program; Quality Improvement (Internal to the specific organization)	

Measure Type

Patient-reported Outcome-Based Performance Measure (PRO-PM)

Target Population(s)

Eligible patients with at least two PAM scores no less than 6 months and not more than 12 months apart

Care Setting

Clinician Office/Clinic

Level of Analysis

Clinician: Group/Practice



CBE #2483 – Gains in Patient Activation Measure® (PAM®) Scores at 12 Months Measure Review Questions



Rubric Domain	Ex	ample Discussion Questions
Importance	•	To what extent is there an adequate business case supported by evidence for the measure/measure focus?
	•	Does the business case indicate the potential for sufficient gains in health care quality where there is variation in or overall less-than-optimal performance?
	•	Is there sufficient evidence the target population (e.g., patients) finds the measure/measure focus meaningful?
Feasibility	•	Do the measure specifications require data that are available in electronic health records, are routinely generated during the normal delivery of care, AND are readily available or could be captured without undue burden?
		 If data are not readily available, is there a near-term (within 1 year) path to support such routine and electronic data capture?
Scientific Acceptability	•	Does the measure, as specified, produce consistent (reliable) and credible (valid) results about the quality of care when implemented?
Equity	•	Does the measure sufficiently identify disparities in care across relevant populations, the results of which can be used to make actionable improvements in health equity?
Use &	•	To what extent is the measure used for accountability, or to what extent is there a near-term plan to be used for accountability?
Usability	•	To what extent can the interested parties, including the accountable entities, use the measure results to achieve high-quality, efficient care?



Next Steps





Next Steps for Spring 2024 E&M Cycle





Compiled Comments

- We will share Advisory Group feedback and questions with developers/stewards for written response.
- We will share Advisory Group feedback and questions, along with developer/steward responses, publicly and with the Recommendation Group in advance of the endorsement meetings.



- Advisory Group Meetings: June 3-6, 2024.
- Endorsement Meetings: July 26-August 1, 2024.



Upcoming Public Comment

 Draft E&M Guidebook: June 4-June 24, 2024



Questions:

Contact us at p4qm.org/contact or by emailing pqmsupport@battelle.org







