

2024 Pre-Rulemaking Measure Review Preliminary Assessment

MUC ID	Title
MUC2024-045	Hospital 30-Day, All-Cause, Risk-Standardized Readmission Rate (RSRR) Following Pneumonia (PN) Hospitalization
Measure Steward &	Proposed CMS Programs
Developer	

Measure Overview

Developer-provided rationale: The goal of this measure is to improve patient outcomes by providing patients, physicians, hospitals, and policymakers with information about hospitallevel, risk-standardized readmission rates following hospitalization for pneumonia. Measurement of patient outcomes allows for a broad view of quality of care that encompasses more than what can be captured by individual process-of-care measures. Complex and critical aspects of care, such as communication between providers, prevention of and response to complications, patient safety, and coordinated transitions to the outpatient environment, all contribute to patient outcomes but are difficult to measure by individual process measures. The goal of outcomes measurement is to risk adjust for patient conditions at the time of hospital admission and then evaluate patient outcomes. This measure was developed to identify institutions whose performance is better or worse than would be expected based on their patient case mix and, therefore, promote hospital quality improvement and better inform consumers about care quality.

CMS-provided program rationale: CMS is considering including this quality measure in its quality reporting programs because the measure supports CMS's long-standing effort to link Medicare payments to health care quality in the inpatient hospital setting. Under the Hospital Readmissions Reduction Program (HRRP), CMS reduces payments to hospitals with higher-than-expected rates of readmission following treatment for select conditions and procedures, encouraging hospitals to provide high-quality care to reduce avoidable returns to the hospital. This re-specified, condition-specific, hospital 30-day, all-cause, risk-standardized readmission rate quality measure is currently used successfully within HRRP. It is going through the Measures Under Consideration (MUC) process for inclusion of Medicare Advantage (MA) beneficiaries to help ensure that within CMS quality reporting programs, quality measurement is tracked across all Medicare beneficiaries and not just the fee-for-service (FFS) population. Specifically, including MA beneficiaries will enable CMS to further its goals of improving health care for all Americans by linking payment to the quality of hospital care and advancing health

The analyses upon which this publication is based were performed under Contract Number 75FCMC23C0010, entitled, "National Consensus Development and Strategic Planning for Health Care Quality Measurement," sponsored by the Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services.



Measure Overview

equity. Over the past decade, enrollment in MA plans has more than doubled with over half of Medicare beneficiaries enrolling in MA plans. The continued inclusion of the quality measures with both FFS and MA beneficiaries in HRRP will help the agency move closer to achieving its strategic quality initiatives of improving quality and health outcomes across the care journey and enabling a responsive and resilient health care system to improve quality

Description: The measure estimates a hospital-level 30-day, all-cause, risk-standardized readmission rate (RSRR) for patients aged 65 and older discharged from the hospital with either a principal discharge diagnosis of pneumonia (including aspiration pneumonia) or a principal discharge diagnosis of sepsis (not severe sepsis) with a secondary diagnosis of pneumonia (including aspiration pneumonia) coded as present on admission (POA). Readmission is defined as an unplanned readmission for any cause within 30 days of the discharge date for the index admission. Readmissions are classified as planned and unplanned by applying the planned readmission algorithm. CMS annually reports the measure for patients who are 65 years or older and enrolled in fee-for-service (FFS) Medicare and/or Medicare Advantage (MA) and hospitalized in short term non-federal acute care hospitals.

Measure background: Measure currently used in a Medicare program, but the measure is undergoing substantive changes.

Numerator: The outcome for this measure is 30-day, all-cause readmissions. We define readmission as an inpatient acute care admission for any cause, with the exception of certain planned readmissions, within 30 days from the date of discharge from the index admission for patients discharged from the hospital with diagnosis coding that meets one of the two following requirements:

- 1. Principal discharge diagnosis of pneumonia; or
- 2. a. Principal discharge diagnosis of sepsis (that is not severe); and
 - b. A secondary diagnosis of pneumonia coded as present on admission (POA); and
 - c. No secondary diagnosis of sepsis that is both severe and coded as POA.

If a patient has more than one unplanned admission (for any reason) within 30 days after discharge from the index admission, only the first one is counted as a readmission. This measure looks for a dichotomous yes or no outcome of whether each admitted patient has an unplanned readmission within 30 days. However, if the first readmission after discharge is considered planned, any subsequent unplanned readmission is not counted as an outcome for that index admission because the unplanned readmission could be related to care provided during the intervening planned readmission rather than during the index admission.

Exclusions: N/A

Denominator: The cohort includes admissions for patients that meet all of the following inclusion criteria:

1. Discharged from the hospital with diagnosis coding that meets one of the two following requirements:

Battelle | Version 1.0 | December 2024

Information in this PA has been reviewed by the measure developer/steward and CMS



Measure Overview							
 a. Principal discharge diagnosis of pneumonia; or b. i. Principal discharge diagnosis of sepsis (that is not severe); and ii. A secondary diagnosis of pneumonia coded as present on admission (POA); and iii. No secondary diagnosis of sepsis that is both severe and coded as POA; 2. Enrolled in Medicare fee-for-service (FFS) and/or Medicare Advantage (MA) for the 12 months prior to the date of admission; and enrolled in FFS or MA during the index admission; 3. Aged 65 or over; 4. Discharged alive from a non-federal short-term acute care hospital; 5. Not transferred to another acute care facility. 							
Exclusions: This measure excludes index adr following exclusion criteria:	nissions for patients that meet any of the						
 Without at least 30 days of post-discharge enrollment in Medicare FFS and/or MA; Pneumonia admissions within 30 days of discharge from a prior pneumonia index admission; Discharged against medical advice; With a secondary diagnosis code of COVID-19 coded as present on admission on the index admission claim. Exceptions: N/A							
Measure type: Outcome	Measure has multiple scores: No						
	Measure is a composite: No						
	Measure is digital and/or an eCQM: No						
	Measure is a paired or group measure: No						
Level of analysis: Facility	Data source(s): Digital-Administrative systems: Administrative Data (non-claims); Digital-Administrative systems: Claims Data						
Care setting(s): Hospital inpatient acute care facility	Risk adjustment or stratification: Yes						
CBE endorsement status: Endorsed; CBE ID 0506	CBE endorsement history: Endorsed 2020; Initial Endorsement 2008-10						
Is measure currently used in CMS programs? Yes, Hospital Readmissions Reduction Program	Measure addresses statutorily required area? No						



Meaningfulness

Importance					
Type of evidence:	Peer-Reviewed Original Research, Empirical Data, Grey Literature [Source:				
	Measures Under Consideration (MUC) Entry/Review Information Tool (MERIT)				
	Submission Form]				
Importance: Evidence suggests that this measure concept is of importance to persons and entities. Pneumonia readmission is a costly event and represents an undesirable outcome of care from the patient's perspective. Highly disparate pneumonia readmission rates among hospitals suggest there is room for improvement. Numerous studies have demonstrated that appropriate (i.e., guideline-recommended care), high-quality, and timely treatment for pneumonia patients can reduce the risk of readmission within 30 days of hospital discharge. Recent evidence of declining readmission rates provides further support for the concept that care processes during and following hospitalization can affect a patient's risk of readmission.					
Committee members should consider whether re	ycle in 2020, the committee found importance of this measure sufficient. cent measure changes (see Numerator, Denominator & Endorsement History on				
page 2 of this PA) affect their interpretation of th	is criterion.				

Rating: Met, Prior CBE Endorsement

Measure Performance

The importance data provided (4,290 hospitals) corresponds to Table 4.4.5 in the Supplemental Methodology Report, which includes hospitals with at least 25 admissions. Because reliability has been calculated on a subset of hospitals with at least 25 admissions, Battelle used the mean and standard deviation from Table 4.4.6 ("with ICD-10-based risk variables" columns) to estimate the importance deciles (i.e., the data sorted and broken into 10 equal parts) in Table 1 below (for simplicity, a normal distribution was assumed).

Interpretation: The mean score for the 3,121 entities described in the testing submission for this measure was 15.6. For this ratio measure, a lower score indicates better quality of care.



Table 1. MUC2024-045 Performance Score Deciles

	Overall	Min	Decile 1	Decile 2	Decile 3	Decile 4	Decile 5	Decile 6	Decile 7	Decile 8	Decile 9	Decile 10	Max
Mean	15.6	12.9	14.3	14.8	15.1	15.3	15.5	15.7	15.9	16.1	16.4	16.9	18.3
Score (SD)	(0.8)	12.0	1110	1 110	1011	1010	1010	1011	1010	1011	1011	1010	10.0
Number of Entities	3,121	1	313	312	312	312	312	312	312	312	312	312	1

Conformance

Measure alignment with conceptual intent: The specification of the measure focus (risk-standardized readmission rate following pneumonia hospitalization) is aligned with intent and has been used and studied extensively. The submission provides extensive data demonstrating comparability between the fee-for-service (FFS) and Medicare Advantage target populations and demonstrating minimal impact on the modified risk-adjusted rates. Numerator and denominator populations are appropriate and exclusions align with clinical evidence.

Rating: Met, Prior CBE Endorsement

Feasibility

eCQM feasibility testing conducted: No [Source: MERIT Submission Form]

Feasibility: The specification for the measure focus, the target population, and the risk factors is based on fee-for-service administrative (claims) data, and the measures have been in use. The Medicare Advantage encounter data are collected and reported in similar fashion. The submission states that all data elements are in defined fields in electronic sources and that United States Core Data for Interoperability (USCDI)/USCDI+ quality alignment has not yet been assessed; aligning with USCDI standards for data elements can promote interoperability and improve feasibility

During prior CBE Endorsement & Maintenance cycle in 2020, the committee found the feasibility of this measure sufficient. Committee members should consider whether recent measure changes affect their interpretation of this criterion. **Rating:** Met, Prior CBE Endorsement



Validity	
Validity testing:	Face Validity & Empiric Validity [Sources: MERIT Submission Form, Methodology, Methodology Supplemental, Methodology TEP, Social Risk Factor Testing]
Testing level(s):	Facility
panel (TEP) members' agreement with the follow	ty of the measure score as an indicator of quality by soliciting the technical expert ing statement: "The risk-standardized readmission rate obtained from the between better and worse quality hospitals." Eleven of 12 TEP members strongly, ent.
•	ne extensive published literature that substantiates the association (correlation) of causation between the entity response (the quality construct) and the measure
[excluding Pneumonia Excess Days in Acute care EDAC), and the Star Rating Standardized Summ respectively. This is in the hypothesized direction	sion measure and the Star Rating Standardized Readmission Group Scores e (EDAC)], the Star Rating Standardized Summary Scores (excluding Pneumonia ary Scores (excluding readmission measure group) was -0.27, -0.15, and -0.04 because lower pneumonia readmission rate and higher Star Rating reflect better ere in the hypothesized direction (higher complications should lead to lower Star on coefficients were weak.
readily admit patients with the specified condition	cent criticism that readmission measures may be incentivizing hospitals to not and, as a result, mortality rates increase. The submission generally responds dged that condition-specific mortality has also declined since HRRP
•	ycle in 2020, the committee found validity of this measure sufficient. Committee ire changes affect their interpretation of this criterion.



Re		

Reliability testing method(s):

Signal-to-noise [Sources: MERIT Submission Form, Methodology, Methodology Supplemental, Methodology TEP, Social Risk Factor Testing] Facility

Testing level:FacilityReliability discussion: The numerator and denominator for this measure are well defined. The developer calculated signal-to-
noise reliability based on the between entity variance from the risk-adjustment model. The analysis to determine if a hospital
performs better or worse than expected (calculated using bootstrapping procedures) indicates that the measure is ineffective at
differentiating entities by quality of performance. Of the 3,743 hospitals with at least 25 admissions, 23 (0.6%) performed better
than the national rate, 55 (1.5%) performed worse than the national rate, and 97.9% performed no different than the national rate.

The reliability results provided (which represent the reliability of the hospital intercepts from the risk-adjusted model only) were calculated from 1 year of data consisting of 3,693 hospitals with at least 25 admissions. The developer projected the reliability for 2- and 3-year data and provided estimated minimum, maximum, median, and 25th and 75th percentiles.

Additional reliability analyses: The importance data provided (4,290 hospitals) corresponds to Table 4.4.5 in the Supplemental Methodology Report, which includes hospitals with <25 admissions. Because reliability has been calculated on hospitals with at least 25 admissions, the mean and standard deviation from Table 4.4.6 ("with ICD-10-based risk variables" columns) was used to estimate the importance deciles in Table 2 below (for simplicity, a normal distribution was assumed). Table 2 includes the minimum, maximum, and 25th and 75th percentiles provided. Deciles have been filled in with simple interpolation.

During prior CBE Endorsement & Maintenance cycle in 2020, the committee found reliability of this measure sufficient. Committee members should consider whether recent measure changes affect their interpretation of this criterion **Rating:** Met, Prior CBE Endorsement

Reliability Table

Table 2 shows deciles by reliability based on the information provided for the performance score (Table 4.4.6 in the Supplemental Methodology Report) and calculated reliability for the 3,693 entities described in the testing submission. Battelle created this table to provide reviewers with a standardized format to assess reliability.

Interpretation: For the 2-year projections, about 40% of the entities would have a reliability >0.6, indicating that 60% entities may not be able to distinguish good from poor quality care. For the 3-year projections, about 50% of the entities would have a reliability >0.6, indicating that 50% of entities may not be able to distinguish good from poor quality care.

Battelle | Version 1.0 | December 2024 Information in this PA has been reviewed by the measure developer/steward and CMS



Table 2. MUC2024-045 Mean Reliability (by Reliability Decile)

	Mean	SD	Min	Decile 1	Decile 2	Decile 3	Decile 4	Decile 5	Decile 6	Decile 7	Decile 8	Decile 9	Decile 10	Max	IQR
2-year	0.51	0.31	0.118	0.15	0.21	0.28	0.32	0.42	0.55	0.62	0.69	0.74	0.85	0.961	0.41
3-year	0.58	0.33	0.128	0.17	0.24	0.32	0.37	0.47	0.62	0.69	0.76	0.80	0.89	0.974	0.44

Usability

Usability considered in application:

Usability discussion: The measure counts readmissions to any acute care hospital for any cause within 30 days of the date of discharge of the index pneumonia admission, excluding planned readmissions. The addition of MA data to the measure doubles the cohort size, improves measure reliability, and more accurately reflects the quality of care for both FFS and MA beneficiaries. One limitation is that the submission does not explicitly consider barriers or facilitators to the implementation of strategies to reduce readmissions or how those barriers might be mitigated or facilitators disseminated. The committee should consider if the "flattened" rates in importance table may suggest that further improvement in the rates may require an alternative approach and strategy.

Yes

During prior CBE Endorsement & Maintenance cycle in 2020, the committee found use & usability of this measure sufficient. Committee members should consider whether recent measure changes (see Numerator, Denominator & Endorsement History on page 2 of this PA) affect their interpretation of this criterion.

Rating: Met, Prior CBE Endorsement

External Validity	
Was this measure tested in the same target	Yes
population as the CMS program?	
External validity discussion: In general, the de	veloper tested the measure on the target population (Medicare fee-for-service and
Medicare Advantage beneficiaries). Limitation: O	nly 1 year of data (January 1, 2022, to December 30, 2022) was available for
testing. Reliability testing extrapolated 1 year of o	data to 2 or 3 years, assuming the same signal variance and reducing the noise
variance by using a larger denominator. Presuma	ably, future testing would include additional years of data.
Rating: Met, Prior CBE Endorsement	



Appropriateness of Scale

Similar or related measures in program(s):	From the submission: This measure is distinct from 00336-01-C-HVBP Hospital
	30-day all-cause risk-standardized mortality rate (RSMR) following pneumonia
	hospitalization because RSMR is for mortality while risk-standardized
	readmission rate (RSRR) is for readmission. This measure is distinct from
	00249-01-C-HIQR Excess Days in Acute Care (EDAC) after Hospitalization for
	pneumonia because the EDAC measure includes emergency department visits
	and observation stays in addition to readmissions
	cross target populations/measured entities: The submission does not ne measure use are distributed across identifiable subpopulations of either
persons or entities. While there might be differer	nces among entities in terms of community support and access to care services,
this measure is stratified by the proportion of dua	I eligibility (DE) patients as part of the CMS Hospital Readmissions Reduction
	hould consider whether entities that operate in areas with fewer community
	vices are able to realize the same benefits from those readmission reduction
strategies.	

Time to Value Realization

Plan for near- and long-term impacts after	The submission does not specifically address how any benefits or harms of
implementation:	measure use might change over time.
Measure implementation impacts over time: \	While the measure developer briefly mentions potential outcomes for their
measure on patient populations, there may be a implementation for measured entities and patient	need for further examination of near- and long-term impacts of this measure after ts.
Questions for the committee to consider:	
 What are the potential near- and long-tern patient populations? 	m impacts of this measure on measured entities, proposed CMS program, and
 Will benefits and burdens associated with 	this measure be realized within an appropriate implementation time frame?
 Given that the measure has been in use t improvement? 	or years, what rationale exists for expectations of continued measure

Battelle | Version 1.0 | December 2024 Information in this PA has been reviewed by the measure developer/steward and CMS