

2024 Pre-Rulemaking Measure Review

Preliminary Assessment

MUC ID	Title
MUC2024-054c	CAHPS [®] Home Health Care Survey Talk About Home Safety
Measure Steward & Developer	Proposed CMS Programs
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS)	Home Health Quality Reporting Program

Measure Overview
<p>Developer provided rationale: One of the goals of the CMS National Quality Strategy is to foster engagement and to bring the voices of patients to the forefront. As part of fostering engagement, it is critical to hear the voice of individuals by obtaining feedback from them on home health agency performance and incorporating it as part of CMS’s comprehensive approach to quality. Patient-centeredness is a central goal of home health care and can be directly measured through surveys of home health patients. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) and the Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems (CAHPS) grantees developed the Home Health CAHPS[®] Survey, a component of the Home Health Quality Reporting Program (HHQRP), to ensure that an assessment of the patient-centeredness of care would be included to monitor home health agency performance, promote quality improvement, and inform consumer decision making in the selection of a home health agency via public reporting of results.</p> <p>The HHCAHPS Survey Talk About Home Safety measure assesses whether someone from the home health agency talked with the patient about ways to help make their home safer: for example, suggesting adding grab bars in the shower or removing tripping hazards.</p> <p>This measure reflects patient experiences with their home health agency across a variety of domains that were identified as important to patients and stakeholders based on focus groups and cognitive interviews during the questionnaire revision development phase.</p>
<p>CMS-provided program rationale: The HHCAHPS Survey provides an assessment of patient-centeredness of care to monitor home health agency performance, promotes quality improvement, and helps inform consumer decision-making through publicly reported results.</p>
<p>Description: Talk About Home Safety is a single-item measure derived from the updated CAHPS[®] Home Health Care Survey, also referred as “HHCAHPS.” This is a standardized survey instrument and data collection methodology for measuring home health patients’ perspectives on their home health care in Medicare-certified home health care agencies. The Talk About Home Safety measure is based on the responses to this item: When you first started getting home health care from this agency, did someone from the agency talk about</p>

Measure Overview	
ways to help make your home safer? For example, they may have suggested adding grab bars in the shower or removing tripping hazards.	
Measure background: Measure currently used in a Medicare program, but the measure is undergoing substantive change.	
Numerator: CMS calculates HHCAHPS Survey measure scores using top-box scoring. The top-box score refers to the percentage of respondents who give the most positive response(s). The Talk About Home Safety measure uses a “Yes/No” response scale. The top-box numerator is the number of respondents who answer “Yes.”	
Denominator: HHCAHPS Survey respondents are the adult patients who received care from a home health agency in a given month. A survey is defined as completed when at least 50 percent of the questions applicable to all patients are answered. The denominator for the HHCAHPS Talk About Home Safety measure is the number of respondents with completed surveys who answer this item.	
Exclusions: Patients under 18 years of age at any time during their stay are excluded. Patients who received fewer than two visits from home health agency personnel during a 2-month look-back period are excluded. The 2-month look-back period is defined as the 2-months prior to and including the last day in the sample month. Patients who have been previously selected for an HHCAHPS sample during any month in the current quarter, or during the last 5 months, are excluded. Patients who are currently receiving hospice, or are discharged to hospice, are excluded. All routine maternity patients are excluded. All “No publicity” status patients are excluded. Patients receiving only non-skilled care are excluded. Patients who reside in a state where their health condition exclude them from surveys and patients who are decedents at the time of the sample are excluded.	
Measure type: Patient-Reported Outcome Performance Measure (PRO-PM) or Patient Experience of Care	Measure has multiple scores: No Measure is a composite: No Measure is digital and/or an eCQM: No Measure is a paired or group measure: No
Level of analysis: Facility	Data source(s): Digital-Other (enter here): web-based survey was tested in the field test; Non-Digital-Patient-Reported Health Data or Survey Data (telephonic or paper-based)
Care setting(s): Home Health	Risk adjustment or stratification: Yes
CBE endorsement status: Endorsed in 2019; CBE 0517 ; next anticipated CBE endorsement review 2025.	CBE endorsement history: Since the HHCAHPS Care of Patients measure was last endorsed, changes to it include changes to item wording, deletion of one survey item, and inclusion of two new survey items.

Measure Overview

Is measure currently used in CMS programs? Measure is currently used in the Home Health Quality Reporting Program. This is a single item pulled out of multi-item measure.

Measure addresses statutorily required area? The reporting of quality data by HHAs is mandated by Section 1895(b)(3)(B)(v)(II) of the Social Security Act (“the Act”). HHCAHPS data are mandated in the Medicare regulations at 42 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 484.250(a), which requires HHAs to submit HHCAHPS data to meet the quality reporting requirements of section 1895(b)(3)(B)(v) of the Act and 42 CFR § 484.350 for Home Health Value-Based Purchasing (HH VBP).

Meaningfulness

Importance	
	Empirical data [Source(s): Measure Information form (MIF); Measures Under Consideration (MUC) Entry/Review Information Tool (MERIT) Submission Form]
<p>Importance: The developer cites previous work from 2016 on qualitative analysis and conceptual mapping of patient experiences (Lines, 2018) in home health care to demonstrate importance to patients. In the pilot testing of the measure from April through July 2022 with 100 entities and 6,150 persons, the measure demonstrated performance variation with a potential achievable improvement of 19.0%. The developer conducted a grey literature review, but their submission did not discuss results of that review in detail. Table 1 shows measure performance as provided in MERIT submission.</p> <p>In 2019, the prior CBE endorsement process found the importance of this measure sufficient. The committee should consider if changes (see Endorsement History on page 2 of this PA) since endorsement warrant additional review.</p>	
Rating: Met, Prior CBE Endorsement	

Measure Performance

Table 1 (approximated from submission materials) shows deciles (i.e., the data sorted and broken into 10 equal parts) by performance score based on the information provided for the performance score and calculated reliability for the 100 entities described in the testing submission.

Interpretation: The mean score for the 100 entities described in the testing submission for this measure was 89.9. For this proportion measure, a higher score indicates better quality of care.

Table 1. MUC2024-054c Performance Score Deciles

	Overall	Min	Decile 1	Decile 2	Decile 3	Decile 4	Decile 5	Decile 6	Decile 7	Decile 8	Decile 9	Decile 10	Max
Mean Score (SD)	89.9 (9.3)	55.7	66.6	78.7	84.8	88.9	91.3	94.3	96.2	97.7	98.9	99.8	100.0
Number of Entities	100	1	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	1

Conformance

Measure alignment with conceptual intent: Talk About Home Safety is a single-item measure derived from the updated CAHPS® Home Health Care Survey, also referred as “HHCAHPS.” This is a standardized survey instrument and data collection methodology for measuring home health patients’ perspectives on their home health care in Medicare-certified home health care agencies. The Talk About Home Safety measure is based on the responses to this item: When you first started getting home health care from this agency, did someone from the agency talk about ways to help make your home safer? For example, they may have suggested adding grab bars in the shower or removing tripping hazards. Numerator and denominator populations are appropriate and exclusions align with clinical evidence.

Rating: Met, Prior CBE Endorsement

Feasibility

eCQM Feasibility testing conducted: No [Source: MERIT Submission Form]

Feasibility: The HHCAHPS survey has been in use since 2012 and is a standardized survey instrument and data collection methodology. The survey can be administered in six languages in one of three modes: Mail Only, Telephone Only, or Mixed Mode (mail plus telephone follow up). The measure developer tested a shortened version of the HHCAHPS Survey, which resulted in the removal of one item from the current multi-item measure and the inclusion of two new items.

Limitation: The submission does not provide any estimates of respondent burden.

In 2019, the prior endorsement process found the feasibility of this measure sufficient. The committee should consider if changes (see Endorsement History on page 2 of this PA) since endorsement warrant additional review.

Rating: Met, Prior CBE Endorsement

Validity	
Validity testing:	Convergent Validity [Source: MERIT Submission Form, Methodology Attachment]
Testing level(s):	Agency
<p>Validity: Talk About Home Safety is a single-item measure derived from the updated CAHPS® Home Health Care Survey. The developer tested this updated version of the HHCAHPS Survey in a 2022 field test. Convergent validity testing revealed correlations of the expected magnitude and in the expected direction between the top-box score for Talk About Home Safety measure and the top box scores for the global measures Overall Rating of Care from the Agency’s Home Health Staff ($r=0.39$, $p < 0.001$) and Willingness to Recommend the Agency ($r=0.45$, $p < 0.001$). These results indicate moderate, statistically significant correlations between the current measure and the Home Health Care CAHPS survey.</p> <p>Among the 100 HHAs in the field experiment, the score ranged from 55.7 to 100.0 with the interquartile range of 10.4. Previous analyses of CAHPS scores have suggested that statistically significant differences of 1, 3, and 5 points on a 0-100 scale are considered small, medium, and large, respectively, indicating that the differences observed across home health agencies on this measure may be considered large. The committee should consider implications of these differences for validity of the measure.</p> <p>In 2019, the prior CBE endorsement process found the validity of this measure sufficient. The committee should consider if changes (see Endorsement History on page 2 of this PA) since endorsement warrant additional review.</p>	
<p>Threats to validity: The measure developer could strengthen this measure submission through the interpretation of those correlations with a logic model or concept model that specifies the mechanisms in common among the measures that could be responsible for that correlation. The submission also does not include performance metrics for the entity-level risk-adjustment model.</p>	
<p>Rating: Met, Prior CBE Endorsement</p>	

Reliability	
Reliability testing method(s):	Signal-to-Noise [Source: MERIT Submission Form, Methodology Attachment]
Testing level:	Agency
<p>Reliability discussion: The numerator and denominator for this measure are well defined. The developer calculated the provided reliability results from data consisting of 100 agencies with more than 100 respondents to the survey. The Talk About Home Safety measure exhibits inter-unit reliability of 0.77. Among those agencies, the average number of completed surveys per agency is 315. This value exceeds the commonly applied threshold of .60 for acceptable reliability, indicating that this measure can effectively distinguish between high- and low-quality care across settings.</p>	

Reliability

In 2019, the prior CBE endorsement process found the reliability of this measure sufficient. The committee should consider if changes since endorsement warrant additional review.

Additional reliability analyses: Only a single estimate for reliability is required; therefore, interpolated decile averages of the reliability data were not generated.

Rating: Met, Prior CBE Endorsement

Usability

Usability considered in application: Yes

Usability discussion: Based on discussion of the measure in the MUC List submission documents, there is an opportunity for improvement on the measure target among clinician and clinician groups participating in the CMS program. No external program-level factors that may present barriers to measure use were identified during review. One unintended consequence mentioned in the submission materials is that this measure could lead to a facility placing greater emphasis on aspects of patient experience that are captured by this measure over those aspects not recognized in this measure.

In 2019, the prior CBE endorsement process found the usability of this measures sufficient. The committee should consider if changes (see Endorsement History on page 2 of this PA) since endorsement warrant additional review.

Rating: Met

External Validity

Was this measure tested in the same target population as the CMS program? Yes

External validity discussion: The measure developer conducted a pilot study on 100 home health agencies and 6,150 persons, representative of the program population.

Rating: Met

Appropriateness of Scale

Similar or related measures in program(s):	No
<p>Measure appropriateness, equity, and value across target populations/measured entities: This measure reflects patient experiences with their home health agency in a domain that was identified as important to patients and stakeholders based on focus groups and cognitive interviews during the questionnaire revision development phase.</p> <p>This measure may lead to an emphasis on certain aspects of patient experience over those aspects not specifically named. However, because this aspect of patient experience has been deemed important by patients, caregivers, and provider stakeholders, the adverse consequences of such an emphasis are likely minimal. The proposed measure will increase transparency of the home health patient care experience to the public. The committee should consider if, based on their professional and patient experience, there is a chance for variation on distribution of benefit or burden across provider and patient populations.</p>	

Time to Value Realization

Plan for near- and long-term impacts after implementation:	No
<p>Measure implementation impacts over time: The measure developer does not articulate a relationship between the measure and patient benefits or harm over time.</p> <p>There is a need for further examination of near- and long-term impacts of this measure after implementation across multiple levels. Questions for the committee to consider:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • What are the potential near- and long-term impacts of this measure on measured entities, the Home Health Quality Reporting Program, and patient populations? • Will benefits and burdens associated with this measure be realized within an appropriate implementation time frame? • How will this measure mature through revisions in the future if added to the Home Health Quality Reporting Program measure set? 	