



## Measure Information

This document contains the information submitted by measure developers/stewards, but is organized according to NQF's measure evaluation criteria and process. The item numbers refer to those in the submission form but may be in a slightly different order here. In general, the item numbers also reference the related criteria (e.g., item 1b.1 relates to sub criterion 1b).

### Brief Measure Information

**NQF #:** 1664

**Corresponding Measures:**

**De.2. Measure Title:** SUB-3 Alcohol & Other Drug Use Disorder Treatment Provided or Offered at Discharge and SUB-3a Alcohol & Other Drug Use Disorder Treatment at Discharge

**Co.1.1. Measure Steward:** The Joint Commission

**De.3. Brief Description of Measure:** The measure is reported as an overall rate which includes all hospitalized patients 18 years of age and older to whom alcohol or drug use disorder treatment was provided, or offered and refused, at the time of hospital discharge, and a second rate, a subset of the first, which includes only those patients who received alcohol or drug use disorder treatment at discharge. The Provided or Offered rate (SUB-3) describes patients who are identified with alcohol or drug use disorder who receive or refuse at discharge a prescription for FDA-approved medications for alcohol or drug use disorder, OR who receive or refuse a referral for addictions treatment. The Alcohol and Other Drug Disorder Treatment at Discharge (SUB-3a) rate describes only those who receive a prescription for FDA-approved medications for alcohol or drug use disorder OR a referral for addictions treatment. Those who refused are not included.

**1b.1. Developer Rationale:** The benefits associated with the use of this measure would be to get more patients who are either drug or alcohol dependent into treatment resulting in a greater number of persons who achieve abstinence, and reduced co-morbidity and mortality associated with the use of drugs and alcohol.

**S.4. Numerator Statement:** SUB-3: The number of patients who received or refused at discharge a prescription for medication for treatment of alcohol or drug use disorder OR received or refused a referral for addictions treatment.

SUB-3a: The number of patients who received a prescription at discharge for medication for treatment of alcohol or drug use disorder OR a referral for addictions treatment.

**S.6. Denominator Statement:** The number of hospitalized inpatients 18 years of age and older identified with an alcohol or drug use disorder

**S.8. Denominator Exclusions:** There are 11 exclusions to the denominator as follows:

- Patients less than 18 years of age
- Patient drinking at unhealthy levels who do not meet criteria for an alcohol use disorder
- Patients who are cognitively impaired
- Patients who expire
- Patients discharged to another hospital
- Patients who left against medical advice
- Patients discharged to another healthcare facility
- Patients discharged to home or another healthcare facility for hospice care
- Patients who have a length of stay less than or equal to one day or greater than 120 days
- Patients who do not reside in the United States
- Patients receiving Comfort Measures Only documented

**De.1. Measure Type:** Process

**S.17. Data Source:** Electronic Health Records, Paper Medical Records

**S.20. Level of Analysis:** Facility, Other

**IF Endorsement Maintenance – Original Endorsement Date:** Mar 04, 2014 **Most Recent Endorsement Date:** Mar 04, 2014

IF this measure is included in a composite, NQF Composite#/title:

IF this measure is paired/grouped, NQF#/title:

De.4. IF PAIRED/GROUPED, what is the reason this measure must be reported with other measures to appropriately interpret results? [Not Applicable](#)

## 1. Evidence, Performance Gap, Priority – Importance to Measure and Report

Extent to which the specific measure focus is evidence-based, important to making significant gains in healthcare quality, and improving health outcomes for a specific high-priority (high-impact) aspect of healthcare where there is variation in or overall less-than-optimal performance. **Measures must be judged to meet all sub criteria to pass this criterion and be evaluated against the remaining criteria.**

### 1a. Evidence to Support the Measure Focus – See attached Evidence Submission Form

[1664\\_Evidence\\_MSF5.0\\_Data.doc](#)

#### 1a.1 For Maintenance of Endorsement: Is there new evidence about the measure since the last update/submission?

Do not remove any existing information. If there have been any changes to evidence, the Committee will consider the new evidence. Please use the most current version of the evidence attachment (v7.1). Please use red font to indicate updated evidence.

### 1b. Performance Gap

Demonstration of quality problems and opportunity for improvement, i.e., data demonstrating:

- considerable variation, or overall less-than-optimal performance, in the quality of care across providers; and/or
- Disparities in care across population groups.

**1b.1. Briefly explain the rationale for this measure** (e.g., how the measure will improve the quality of care, the benefits or improvements in quality envisioned by use of this measure)

*If a COMPOSITE* (e.g., combination of component measure scores, all-or-none, any-or-none), SKIP this question and answer the composite questions.

The benefits associated with the use of this measure would be to get more patients who are either drug or alcohol dependent into treatment resulting in a greater number of persons who achieve abstinence, and reduced co-morbidity and mortality associated with the use of drugs and alcohol.

**1b.2. Provide performance scores on the measure as specified (current and over time) at the specified level of analysis.** (*This is required for maintenance of endorsement. Include mean, std dev, min, max, interquartile range, scores by decile. Describe the data source including number of measured entities; number of patients; dates of data; if a sample, characteristics of the entities include.*) This information also will be used to address the sub-criterion on improvement (4b1) under Usability and Use.

In a study on the provision of evidence-based care and preventive services provided in hospitals for 30 different medical conditions, quality varied substantially according to diagnosis. Adherence to recommended practices for treatment of substance use ranked last, with only 10% of patients receiving proper care (McGlynn 2003, Gentilello 2005). Currently, less than one in twenty patients with an addiction are referred for treatment (Gentilello 1999).

Unfortunately, many physicians mistakenly believe that substance use problems are largely confined to the young. They are significantly less likely to recognize an alcohol problem in an older patient than in a younger one. (Curtis 1989) As a result, these problems usually go undetected, resulting in harmful, expensive, and sometimes even catastrophic consequences. This is demonstrated by the fact that few older adults who need substance use treatment actually receive it. In 2005, persons 65 years and older made up only 11,344 out of 1.8 million substance use treatment episodes recorded.(SAMHSA 2007)

**1b.3. If no or limited performance data on the measure as specified is reported in 1b2, then provide a summary of data from the literature that indicates opportunity for improvement or overall less than optimal performance on the specific focus of measurement.**

- Gentilello LM, Ebel BE, Wickizer TM, Salkever DS Rivera FP. Alcohol interventions for trauma patients treated in emergency departments and hospitals: A cost benefit analysis. *Ann Surg.* 2005 Apr;241(4):541-50.
- Gentilello LM, Villaveces A, Ries RR, Nason KS, Daranciang E, Donovan DM Copass M, Jurkovich GJ Rivara FP. Detection of

acute alcohol intoxication and chronic alcohol dependence by trauma center staff. J Trauma. 1999 Dec;47(6):1131-5; discussion 1135-9.

- McGlynn, EA, Asch SM, Adams J, Keesey J, et al. The New England Journal of Medicine. Boston: Jun 26, 2003. Vol. 348, Iss.26; pg. 2635, 11pgs.
- Curtis, J.R.; Geller, G.; Stokes, E.J. ; et al. Characteristics, diagnosis, and treatment of alcoholism in elderly patients. J Am Geriatr Soc 37:310-316, 1989.
- SAMHSA. Office of Applied Studies. Older adults in substance abuse treatment: 2005. The DASIS Report. Rockville MD, November 8, 2007.

**1b.4. Provide disparities data from the measure as specified (current and over time) by population group, e.g., by race/ethnicity, gender, age, insurance status, socioeconomic status, and/or disability.** (*This is required for maintenance of endorsement. Describe the data source including number of measured entities; number of patients; dates of data; if a sample, characteristics of the entities included.*) For measures that show high levels of performance, i.e., “topped out”, disparities data may demonstrate an opportunity for improvement/gap in care for certain sub-populations. This information also will be used to address the sub-criterion on improvement (4b1) under Usability and Use.

There is some evidence that there may be some disparities relative to patient age as practitioners tend to focus more on younger adults and adolescents as high risk for alcohol misuse. This is demonstrated by the fact that few older adults who need substance use treatment actually receive it. In 2005, persons 65 and older made up only 11,344 out of 1.8 million substance use treatment episodes recorded. However, these data are based on identification and screening procedures that were not routine. This measure set requires routine screening of all patients which should address the disparities related to population groups.

**1b.5. If no or limited data on disparities from the measure as specified is reported in 1b.4, then provide a summary of data from the literature that addresses disparities in care on the specific focus of measurement. Include citations. Not necessary if performance data provided in 1b.4**

SAMHSA. Office of Applied Studies. Older adults in substance abuse treatment: 2005. The DASIS Report. Rockville MD, November 8, 2007.

## 2. Reliability and Validity—Scientific Acceptability of Measure Properties

Extent to which the measure, as specified, produces consistent (reliable) and credible (valid) results about the quality of care when implemented. **Measures must be judged to meet the sub criteria for both reliability and validity to pass this criterion and be evaluated against the remaining criteria.**

**2a.1. Specifications** The measure is well defined and precisely specified so it can be implemented consistently within and across organizations and allows for comparability. eMeasures should be specified in the Health Quality Measures Format (HQMF) and the Quality Data Model (QDM).

**De.5. Subject/Topic Area** (check all the areas that apply):

Behavioral Health : Alcohol, Substance Use/Abuse

**De.6. Non-Condition Specific**(check all the areas that apply):

Screening

**De.7. Target Population Category** (Check all the populations for which the measure is specified and tested if any):

Elderly

**S.1. Measure-specific Web Page** (Provide a URL link to a web page specific for this measure that contains current detailed specifications including code lists, risk model details, and supplemental materials. Do not enter a URL linking to a home page or to general information.)

[https://www.jointcommission.org/assets/1/6/HIQR\\_SpecsManual\\_v52a.zip](https://www.jointcommission.org/assets/1/6/HIQR_SpecsManual_v52a.zip)

**S.2a. If this is an eMeasure**, HQMF specifications must be attached. Attach the zipped output from the eMeasure authoring tool (MAT) - if the MAT was not used, contact staff. (Use the specification fields in this online form for the plain-language description of

the specifications)

This is not an eMeasure Attachment:

**S.2b. Data Dictionary, Code Table, or Value Sets** (and risk model codes and coefficients when applicable) must be attached. (Excel or csv file in the suggested format preferred - if not, contact staff)

No data dictionary Attachment:

**S.2c.** Is this an instrument-based measure (i.e., data collected via instruments, surveys, tools, questionnaires, scales, etc.)? Attach copy of instrument if available.

Attachment:

**S.2d.** Is this an instrument-based measure (i.e., data collected via instruments, surveys, tools, questionnaires, scales, etc.)? Attach copy of instrument if available.

**S.3.1. For maintenance of endorsement:** Are there changes to the specifications since the last updates/submission. If yes, update the specifications for S1-2 and S4-22 and explain reasons for the changes in S3.2.

Yes

**S.3.2. For maintenance of endorsement,** please briefly describe any important changes to the measure specifications since last measure update and explain the reasons.

The denominator exclusion was changed from a length of stay of less than or equal to 3 days to a length of stay of less than or equal to 1 day.

Updates were made to the data element: Alcohol Use Status

ICD codes were updated to reflect the ICD-10 code updates for Fiscal Year (FY) 2017.

**S.4. Numerator Statement** (Brief, narrative description of the measure focus or what is being measured about the target population, i.e., cases from the target population with the target process, condition, event, or outcome) DO NOT include the rationale for the measure.

IF an OUTCOME MEASURE, state the outcome being measured. Calculation of the risk-adjusted outcome should be described in the calculation algorithm (S.14).

SUB-3: The number of patients who received or refused at discharge a prescription for medication for treatment of alcohol or drug use disorder OR received or refused a referral for addictions treatment.

SUB-3a: The number of patients who received a prescription at discharge for medication for treatment of alcohol or drug use disorder OR a referral for addictions treatment.

**S.5. Numerator Details** (All information required to identify and calculate the cases from the target population with the target process, condition, event, or outcome such as definitions, time period for data collection, specific data collection items/responses, code/value sets – Note: lists of individual codes with descriptors that exceed 1 page should be provided in an Excel or csv file in required format at S.2b)

IF an OUTCOME MEASURE, describe how the observed outcome is identified/counted. Calculation of the risk-adjusted outcome should be described in the calculation algorithm (S.14).

Two data elements are used to calculate the numerator:

1. Referral for Addiction Treatment- Documentation that a referral was made at discharge for addictions treatment by a physician or non-physician (such as nurse, psychologist, or counselor).
2. Prescription for Alcohol or Drug Disorder Medication- Documentation that an FDA-approved medication for alcohol or drug disorder was prescribed at hospital discharge.

The referral may be to an addictions treatment program, to a mental health program or mental health specialist for follow up for

substance use or addiction treatment, or to a medical or health professional for follow up for substance use or addiction. A referral to Alcoholics Anonymous does not meet the intent of the measure. The patient does not need to receive both a referral to addictions treatment and a prescription for one of the FDA approved medications, one or the other will meet the intent of the measure. Full specifications can be viewed on the Joint Commission web site at [www.jointcommission.org](http://www.jointcommission.org) at the following link:

[https://www.jointcommission.org/assets/1/6/HIQR\\_SpecsManual\\_v52a.zip](https://www.jointcommission.org/assets/1/6/HIQR_SpecsManual_v52a.zip)

**S.6. Denominator Statement** *(Brief, narrative description of the target population being measured)*

The number of hospitalized inpatients 18 years of age and older identified with an alcohol or drug use disorder

**S.7. Denominator Details** *(All information required to identify and calculate the target population/denominator such as definitions, time period for data collection, specific data collection items/responses, code/value sets – Note: lists of individual codes with descriptors that exceed 1 page should be provided in an Excel or csv file in required format at S.2b.)*

*IF an OUTCOME MEASURE, describe how the target population is identified. Calculation of the risk-adjusted outcome should be described in the calculation algorithm (S.14).*

There are 10 data elements used to calculate the denominator:

1. Admission Date The month, day and year of admission to acute inpatient care.
2. Alcohol Use Status Alcohol Use Status- Documentation of the adult patient's alcohol use status using a validated screening questionnaire for unhealthy alcohol use within the first day of admission. There are seven allowable values:
  - 1 The patient is screened with a validated tool within the first day of admission and the score on the alcohol screen indicates no or low risk of alcohol related problems.
  - 2 The patient was screened with a validated tool within the first day of admission and the score on the alcohol screen indicates unhealthy alcohol use (moderate or high risk) benefiting from brief intervention.
  - 3 The patient was screened with a non-validated tool within the first day of admission and the score on the alcohol screen indicates no or low risk of alcohol related problems.
  - 4 The patient was screened with a non-validated tool within the first day of admission and the score on the alcohol screen indicates unhealthy alcohol use (moderate or high risk) benefiting from brief intervention.
  - 5 The patient refused the screen for alcohol use within the first day of admission.
  - 6 The patient was not screened for alcohol use during the first day of admission or unable to determine from medical record documentation.
  - 7 The patient was not screened for alcohol use during the first day of admission because of cognitive impairment.
3. Birthdate-The month, day and year the patient was born.
4. Comfort Measures Only- Documentation that the patient was receiving medical treatment where the natural dying process is permitted to occur while assuring maximum comfort. There are four allowable values:
  - 1 Day 0 or 1: The earliest day the physician/APN/PA documented comfort measures only was the day of arrival (Day 0) or day after arrival (Day 1).
  - 2 Day 2 or after: The earliest day the physician/APN/PA documented comfort measures only was two or more days after arrival day (Day 2+).
  - 3 Timing unclear: There is physician/APN/PA documentation of comfort measures only during this hospital stay, but whether the earliest documentation of comfort measures only was on day 0 or 1 OR after day 1 is unclear.
  - 4 Not Documented/UTD: There is no physician/APN/PA documentation of comfort measures only, or unable to determine from medical record documentation.
5. Discharge Date The month day and year the patient was discharged from acute care, left against medical advice or expired during the stay.
6. Discharge Disposition The place or setting to which the patient was discharged.
7. ICD-10-CM Other Diagnosis Codes The other or secondary ICD-10-CM codes associated with the diagnosis for this hospitalization.

8. ICD-10-PCS Other Procedure Codes The other or secondary ICD-10-PCS codes identifying all significant procedures other than the principal procedure.

9. ICD-10-CM Principal Diagnosis Code The ICD-10-CM diagnosis code that is primarily responsible for the admission of the patient to the hospital for care during this hospitalization.

10. ICD-10-PCS Principal Procedure Code- The principal procedure is the procedure performed for definitive treatment rather than diagnostic or exploratory purposes, or which is necessary to take care of a complication.

**S.8. Denominator Exclusions** *(Brief narrative description of exclusions from the target population)*

There are 11 exclusions to the denominator as follows:

- Patients less than 18 years of age
- Patient drinking at unhealthy levels who do not meet criteria for an alcohol use disorder
- Patients who are cognitively impaired
- Patients who expire
- Patients discharged to another hospital
- Patients who left against medical advice
- Patients discharged to another healthcare facility
- Patients discharged to home or another healthcare facility for hospice care
- Patients who have a length of stay less than or equal to one day or greater than 120 days
- Patients who do not reside in the United States
- Patients receiving Comfort Measures Only documented

**S.9. Denominator Exclusion Details** *(All information required to identify and calculate exclusions from the denominator such as definitions, time period for data collection, specific data collection items/responses, code/value sets – Note: lists of individual codes with descriptors that exceed 1 page should be provided in an Excel or csv file in required format at S.2b.)*

Patients who are less than 18 years of age are identified by subtracting the patient birthdate from the admission date. Patients who are cognitively impaired and cannot be screened to identify alcohol use are excluded through the data element Alcohol Use Status. Patients with a LOS of one day or less and those with a stay greater than 120 days are identified by the admission and discharge dates. Patients who are not residents of the USA are excluded through specific allowable values for the data elements Referral for Addictions Treatment and Prescription for Alcohol or Drug Disorder Medication. Those patients who expire, are transferred to another facility for inpatient care, hospice, federal health care facility, detention, or leave AMA are identified by virtue of the data element Discharge Disposition.

Patients who do not have a principal or other diagnosis code for alcohol or drug dependence listed on Table 13.2 or a procedure on table 13.3 in Appendix A of the specifications manual would not be included in the measure population. If the patient is receiving comfort measures only which is medical treatment where the natural dying process is permitted to occur while assuring maximum comfort, the patient will be excluded from the population.

**S.10. Stratification Information** *(Provide all information required to stratify the measure results, if necessary, including the stratification variables, definitions, specific data collection items/responses, code/value sets, and the risk-model covariates and coefficients for the clinically-adjusted version of the measure when appropriate – Note: lists of individual codes with descriptors that exceed 1 page should be provided in an Excel or csv file in required format with at S.2b.)*

Not Applicable, the measure is not stratified.

However there is a subset measure SUB-3a which removes patients from the numerator who refused either the prescription or the addictions treatment referral. The subset measure has overlapping populations and this is different from a stratum where the measure population is mutually exclusive.

Since 31.5% of the cases in the numerator refused at least one of the treatments (referral or prescription for medications) a subset measure was added which reports only those that received treatment.

**S.11. Risk Adjustment Type** (Select type. Provide specifications for risk stratification in measure testing attachment)

No risk adjustment or risk stratification

If other:

**S.12. Type of score:**



Rate/proportion

If other:

**S.13. Interpretation of Score** (Classifies interpretation of score according to whether better quality is associated with a higher score, a lower score, a score falling within a defined interval, or a passing score)

Better quality = Higher score

**S.14. Calculation Algorithm/Measure Logic** (Diagram or describe the calculation of the measure score as an ordered sequence of steps including identifying the target population; exclusions; cases meeting the target process, condition, event, or outcome; time period for data, aggregating data; risk adjustment; etc.)

1. Start processing. Run cases that are included in the Global Initial Patient Population and pass the edits defined in the Transmission Data Processing Flow: Clinical through this measure.
2. Calculate Patient Age. Patient Age, in years, is equal to the Admission Date minus the Birthdate. Use the month and day portion of Admission Date and Birthdate to yield the most accurate age. Only cases with valid Admission Date and Birthdate will pass the front end edits into the measure specific algorithms.
3. Check Patient Age
  - a. If Patient Age is less than 18 years, the case will proceed to a Measure Category Assignment of B for overall rate SUB-3 and will not be in the Measure Population. Continue processing and proceed to Step 16 to Initialize Measure Category Assignment for sub-measure SUB-3a.
  - b. If Patient Age is equal to or greater than 18 years, continue processing and proceed to calculate of Length of Stay.
4. Calculate Length of Stay. Length of Stay, in days, is equal to the Discharge Date minus the Admission Date.
5. Check Length of Stay
  - a. If Length of Stay is equal to or less than 1 day, the case will proceed to a Measure Category Assignment of B for overall rate SUB-3 and will not be in the Measure Population. Continue processing and proceed to Step 16 to Initialize Measure Category Assignment for sub-measure SUB-3a.
  - b. If Length of Stay is greater than 3 days, continue processing and proceed to check Comfort Measures Only.
6. Check Comfort Measures Only
  - a. If Comfort Measures Only is missing, the case will proceed to a Measure Category Assignment of X for overall rate SUB-3 and will be rejected. Continue processing and proceed to Step 16 to Initialize Measure Category Assignment for sub-measure SUB-3a.
  - b. If Comfort Measures Only is equal to 1, 2 or 3, the case will proceed to a Measure Category Assignment of B for overall rate SUB-3 and will not be in the Measure Population. Continue processing and proceed to Step 16 to Initialize Measure Category Assignment for sub-measure SUB-3a.
  - c. If Comfort Measures Only is equal to 4, continue processing and proceed to check Alcohol Use Status.
7. Check Alcohol Use Status
  - a. If Alcohol Use Status is missing, the case will proceed to a Measure Category Assignment of X for overall rate SUB-3 and will be rejected. Continue processing and proceed to Step 16 to Initialize Measure Category Assignment for sub-measure SUB-3a.
  - b. If Alcohol Use Status equals 7, the case will proceed to a Measure Category Assignment of B for overall rate SUB-3 and will not be in the Measure Population. Continue processing and proceed to Step 16 to Initialize Measure Category Assignment for sub-measure SUB-3a.
  - c. If Alcohol Use Status equals 1,2,3,4,5, or 6, continue processing and proceed to check Discharge Disposition.
8. Check Discharge Disposition
  - a. If Discharge Disposition is missing, the case will proceed to a Measure Category Assignment of X for overall rate SUB-3 and will be rejected. Continue processing and proceed to Step 16 to Initialize Measure Category Assignment for sub-measure SUB-3a.
  - b. If Discharge Disposition equals 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 or 7, the case will proceed to a Measure Category Assignment of B for overall rate SUB-3 and will not be in the Measure Population. Continue processing and proceed to Step 16 to Initialize Measure Category Assignment for sub-measure SUB-3a.
  - c. If Discharge Disposition equals 1 or 8, continue processing and proceed to check ICD-10-CM Principal or Other Diagnosis Codes.
9. Check ICD-10-CM Principal or Other Diagnosis Codes
  - a. If none of ICD-10-CM Principal or Other Diagnosis Codes is on Table 13.1 or 13.2, continue processing and proceed to check ICD-10-PCS Principal or Other Procedure Codes.
  - b. If any of ICD-10-CM Principal or Other Diagnosis Codes is on Table 13.1 or 13.2, continue processing and proceed to Step 11 to check Referral for Addictions Treatment.

#1664 SUB-3 Alcohol & Other Drug Use Disorder Treatment Provided or Offered at Discharge and SUB-3a Alcohol & Other Drug Use Disorder Treatment at Discharge, Last Updated: Aug 21, 2018

10. Check ICD-10-PCS Principal or Other Procedure Codes
  - a. If all missing or none of ICD-10-PCS Principal or Other Procedure Codes is on Table 13.3, the case will proceed to a Measure Category Assignment of B for overall rate SUB-3 and will not be in the Measure Population. Continue processing and proceed to Step 15 to Initialize Measure Category Assignment for sub-measure SUB-3a.
  - b. If any of ICD-10-PCS Principal or Other Procedure Codes is on Table 13.3, continue processing and proceed to check Referral for Addictions Treatment.
11. Check Referral for Addictions Treatment
  - a. If Referral for Addictions Treatment is missing, the case will proceed to a Measure Category Assignment of X for overall rate SUB-3 and will be rejected. Continue processing and proceed to Step 15 to Initialize Measure Category Assignment for sub-measure SUB-3a.
  - b. If Referral for Addictions Treatment equals 4, the case will proceed to a Measure Category Assignment of B for overall rate SUB-3 and will not be in the Measure Population. Continue processing and proceed to Step 15 to Initialize Measure Category Assignment for sub-measure SUB-3a.
  - c. If Referral for Addictions Treatment equals 1, 2, 3 or 5, continue processing and proceed to check Prescription for Alcohol or Drug Disorder Medication.
12. Check Prescription for Alcohol or Drug Disorder Medication
  - a. If Prescription for Alcohol or Drug Disorder Medication is missing, the case will proceed to a Measure Category Assignment of X for overall rate SUB-3 and will be rejected. Continue processing and proceed to Step 15 to Initialize Measure Category Assignment for sub-measure SUB-3a.
  - b. If Prescription for Alcohol or Drug Disorder Medication equals 3, the case will proceed to a Measure Category Assignment of B for overall rate SUB-3 and will not be in the Measure Population. Continue processing and proceed to Step 15 to Initialize Measure Category Assignment for sub-measure SUB-3a.
  - c. If Prescription for Alcohol or Drug Disorder Medication equals 1, 2 or 4, continue processing and proceed to recheck Referral for Addictions Treatment.
13. Recheck Referral for Addictions Treatment
  - a. If Referral for Addictions Treatment equals 1 or 3, the case will proceed to a Measure Category Assignment of E for overall rate SUB-3 and will be in the Numerator Population. Continue processing and proceed to Step 15 to Initialize Measure Category Assignment for sub-measure SUB-3a.
  - b. If Referral for Addictions Treatment equals 2 or 5, continue processing and proceed to recheck Prescription for Alcohol or Drug Disorder Medication.
14. Recheck Prescription for Alcohol or Drug Disorder Medication
  - a. If Prescription for Alcohol or Drug Disorder Medication equals 4, the case will proceed to Measure Category Assignment of D and will be in the Measure Population for the overall measure rate SUB 3. Continue processing and proceed to Step 15 to Initialize Measure Category Assignment for sub-measure SUB-3a.
  - b. If Prescription for Alcohol or Drug Disorder Medication equals 1 or 2, the case will proceed to a Measure Category Assignment of E and will be in the Numerator Population for the overall measure rate SUB 3. Continue processing and proceed to Step 15 to Initialize Measure Category Assignment for sub-measure SUB-3a.
15. Initialize the Measure Category Assignment for the sub-measure SUB-3a to Measure Category Assignment B. Do not change the Measure Category Assignment that was already calculated for the overall measure SUB-3. The rest of the algorithm will reset the appropriate Measure Category Assignment to SUB-3a.
16. Check Overall Rate Category Assignment
  - a. If Overall Rate Category Assignment equals X, the case will proceed to a Measure Category Assignment of X for sub-measure SUB-3a and will not be in the Measure Population. Stop processing.
  - b. If Overall Rate Category Assignment equals B, the case will proceed to a Measure Category Assignment of B for sub-measure SUB-3a and will not be in the Measure Population. Stop processing
  - c. If Overall Rate Category Assignment equals D or E, continue processing and proceed to recheck Referral for Addictions Treatment.
17. Recheck Referral for Addictions Treatment
  - a. If Referral for Addictions Treatment equals 1, the case will proceed to a Measure Category Assignment of E and will be in the Numerator Population for sub-measure SUB-3a. Stop processing.
  - b. If Referral for Addictions Treatment equals 2, 3 or 5, continue processing and proceed to recheck Prescription for Alcohol or Drug Disorder Medication.
18. Recheck Prescription for Alcohol or Drug Disorder Medication
  - a. If Prescription for Alcohol or Drug Disorder Medication equals 2 or 4, the case will proceed to a Measure Category



Assignment of D and will be in the Measure Population for sub-measure SUB-3a. Stop processing.

b. If Prescription for Alcohol or Drug Disorder Medication equals 1, the case will proceed to a Measure Category Assignment of E and will be in the Numerator Population for sub-measure SUB-3a. Stop processing.

**S.15. Sampling** (If measure is based on a sample, provide instructions for obtaining the sample and guidance on minimum sample size.)

IF an instrument-based performance measure (e.g., PRO-PM), identify whether (and how) proxy responses are allowed.

The Initial Patient Population is defined and identified by two data elements (Admission Date and Discharge Date). All patients discharged from acute inpatient care with Length of Stay (Discharge Date minus Admission Date less than or equal to 120 days are included in the Initial Population and are eligible for sampling. Hospitals that choose to sample have the option of sampling quarterly or monthly. The sample is taken randomly as follows for a monthly sample.

- Average monthly Initial Patient Population > or = 510 results in a minimum random sample size of 102
- Average monthly Initial Patient Population > or = 255 – 509 results in a random sample of 20% of the population size
- Average monthly Initial Patient Population > or = 51 – 254 results in a random sample of 51
- Average monthly Initial Patient Population < 51: No sampling, 100% population required.

**S.16. Survey/Patient-reported data** (If measure is based on a survey or instrument, provide instructions for data collection and guidance on minimum response rate.)

Specify calculation of response rates to be reported with performance measure results.

**S.17. Data Source** (Check ONLY the sources for which the measure is SPECIFIED AND TESTED).

If other, please describe in S.18.

Electronic Health Records, Paper Medical Records

**S.18. Data Source or Collection Instrument** (Identify the specific data source/data collection instrument (e.g. name of database, clinical registry, collection instrument, etc., and describe how data are collected.)

IF instrument-based, identify the specific instrument(s) and standard methods, modes, and languages of administration.

The Joint Commission developed a web-based data collection tool that was used by hospitals and for reliability testing during the pilot test. When the measures are made part of The Joint Commission's ORYX data collection and reporting program, the data will be collected using the contracted Performance Measurement Systems (vendors) that develop data collection tools based on the measure specifications. The tools are verified and tested by Joint Commission staff to confirm the accuracy of the data collection tool with the specifications. The vendor may not offer a measure set to hospitals until the verification for the measure set has been passed.

**S.19. Data Source or Collection Instrument** (available at measure-specific Web page URL identified in S.1 OR in attached appendix at A.1)

**S.20. Level of Analysis** (Check ONLY the levels of analysis for which the measure is SPECIFIED AND TESTED)

Facility, Other

**S.21. Care Setting** (Check ONLY the settings for which the measure is SPECIFIED AND TESTED)

Hospital, Inpatient/Hospital

If other:

**S.22. COMPOSITE Performance Measure** - Additional Specifications (Use this section as needed for aggregation and weighting rules, or calculation of individual performance measures if not individually endorsed.)

**2. Validity – See attached Measure Testing Submission Form**

1664\_MeasureTesting\_MS5.0\_Data.doc

**2.1 For maintenance of endorsement**

Reliability testing: If testing of reliability of the measure score was not presented in prior submission(s), has reliability testing of the measure score been conducted? If yes, please provide results in the Testing attachment. Please use the most current version of the

testing attachment (v7.1). Include information on all testing conducted (prior testing as well as any new testing); use red font to indicate updated testing.

## 2.2 For maintenance of endorsement

Has additional empirical validity testing of the measure score been conducted? If yes, please provide results in the Testing attachment. Please use the most current version of the testing attachment (v7.1). Include information on all testing conducted (prior testing as well as any new testing); use red font to indicate updated testing.

## 2.3 For maintenance of endorsement

**Risk adjustment:** For outcome, resource use, cost, and some process measures, risk-adjustment that includes social risk factors is not prohibited at present. Please update sections 1.8, 2a2, 2b1,2b4.3 and 2b5 in the Testing attachment and S.140 and S.11 in the online submission form. NOTE: These sections must be updated even if social risk factors are not included in the risk-adjustment strategy. You MUST use the most current version of the Testing Attachment (v7.1) -- older versions of the form will not have all required questions.

## 3. Feasibility

Extent to which the specifications including measure logic, require data that are readily available or could be captured without undue burden and can be implemented for performance measurement.

### 3a. Byproduct of Care Processes

For clinical measures, the required data elements are routinely generated and used during care delivery (e.g., blood pressure, lab test, diagnosis, medication order).

#### 3a.1. Data Elements Generated as Byproduct of Care Processes.

generated by and used by healthcare personnel during the provision of care, e.g., blood pressure, lab value, medical condition, Coded by someone other than person obtaining original information (e.g., DRG, ICD-9 codes on claims), Abstracted from a record by someone other than person obtaining original information (e.g., chart abstraction for quality measure or registry)

If other:

### 3b. Electronic Sources

The required data elements are available in electronic health records or other electronic sources. If the required data are not in electronic health records or existing electronic sources, a credible, near-term path to electronic collection is specified.

**3b.1. To what extent are the specified data elements available electronically in defined fields (i.e., data elements that are needed to compute the performance measure score are in defined, computer-readable fields)** Update this field for maintenance of endorsement.

Some data elements are in defined fields in electronic sources

**3b.2. If ALL the data elements needed to compute the performance measure score are not from electronic sources, specify a credible, near-term path to electronic capture, OR provide a rationale for using other than electronic sources.** For maintenance of endorsement, if this measure is not an eMeasure (eCQM), please describe any efforts to develop an eMeasure (eCQM).

The Joint Commission is in the process of preparing for conversion to eMeasure specifications beginning in 2013 for the SUB measure set, including this measure.

**3b.3. If this is an eMeasure, provide a summary of the feasibility assessment in an attached file or make available at a measure-specific URL. Please also complete and attach the NQF Feasibility Score Card.**

Attachment:

### 3c. Data Collection Strategy

Demonstration that the data collection strategy (e.g., source, timing, frequency, sampling, patient confidentiality, costs associated with fees/licensing of proprietary measures) can be implemented (e.g., already in operational use, or testing

demonstrates that it is ready to put into operational use). For eMeasures, a feasibility assessment addresses the data elements and measure logic and demonstrates the eMeasure can be implemented or feasibility concerns can be adequately addressed.

**3c.1. Required for maintenance of endorsement.** Describe difficulties (as a result of testing and/or operational use of the measure) regarding data collection, availability of data, missing data, timing and frequency of data collection, sampling, patient confidentiality, time and cost of data collection, other feasibility/implementation issues.

**IF instrument-based,** consider implications for both individuals providing data (patients, service recipients, respondents) and those whose performance is being measured.

Since 31.5% of the cases in the numerator refused at least one of the treatments (referral or prescription for medications) a subset measure was added which reports only those that received treatment. Refusals are not included in the subset rate.

Sampling was not allowed during the pilot test so that sufficient data could be collected during the 6 month pilot test. A sampling scheme has been introduced for implementation on a national scale. The sampling is described in the specifications section.

The Joint Commission plans on seeking funding for the development of electronic specifications for the measure set.

**3c.2. Describe any fees, licensing, or other requirements to use any aspect of the measure as specified (e.g., value/code set, risk model, programming code, algorithm).**

## 4. Usability and Use

Extent to which potential audiences (e.g., consumers, purchasers, providers, policy makers) are using or could use performance results for both accountability and performance improvement to achieve the goal of high-quality, efficient healthcare for individuals or populations.

### 4a. Accountability and Transparency

Performance results are used in at least one accountability application within three years after initial endorsement and are publicly reported within six years after initial endorsement (or the data on performance results are available). If not in use at the time of initial endorsement, then a credible plan for implementation within the specified timeframes is provided.

#### 4.1. Current and Planned Use

NQF-endorsed measures are expected to be used in at least one accountability application within 3 years and publicly reported within 6 years of initial endorsement in addition to performance improvement.

Specific Plan for Use	Current Use (for current use provide URL)
Public Reporting	
Regulatory and Accreditation Programs	
Quality Improvement (Internal to the specific organization)	

#### 4a1.1 For each CURRENT use, checked above (update for maintenance of endorsement), provide:

- Name of program and sponsor
- Purpose
- Geographic area and number and percentage of accountable entities and patients included
- Level of measurement and setting

**4a1.2. If not currently publicly reported OR used in at least one other accountability application (e.g., payment program, certification, licensing) what are the reasons? (e.g., Do policies or actions of the developer/steward or accountable entities restrict access to performance results or impede implementation?)**

**4a1.3. If not currently publicly reported OR used in at least one other accountability application, provide a credible plan for implementation within the expected timeframes -- any accountability application within 3 years and publicly reported within 6 years of initial endorsement.** (*Credible plan includes the specific program, purpose, intended audience, and timeline for implementing the measure within the specified timeframes. A plan for accountability applications addresses mechanisms for data aggregation and reporting.*)

**4a2.1.1. Describe how performance results, data, and assistance with interpretation have been provided to those being measured or other users during development or implementation.**

**How many and which types of measured entities and/or others were included? If only a sample of measured entities were included, describe the full population and how the sample was selected.**

Not applicable. Not seeking endorsement + designation at this time.

**4a2.1.2. Describe the process(es) involved, including when/how often results were provided, what data were provided, what educational/explanatory efforts were made, etc.**

Not applicable. Not seeking endorsement + designation at this time.

**4a2.2.1. Summarize the feedback on measure performance and implementation from the measured entities and others described in 4d.1.**

**Describe how feedback was obtained.**

Not applicable. Not seeking endorsement + designation at this time.

**4a2.2.2. Summarize the feedback obtained from those being measured.**

Not applicable. Not seeking endorsement + designation at this time.

**4a2.2.3. Summarize the feedback obtained from other users**

Not applicable. Not seeking endorsement + designation at this time.

**4a2.3. Describe how the feedback described in 4a2.2.1 has been considered when developing or revising the measure specifications or implementation, including whether the measure was modified and why or why not.**

Not applicable. Not seeking endorsement + designation at this time.

#### **Improvement**

Progress toward achieving the goal of high-quality, efficient healthcare for individuals or populations is demonstrated. If not in use for performance improvement at the time of initial endorsement, then a credible rationale describes how the performance results could be used to further the goal of high-quality, efficient healthcare for individuals or populations.

**4b1. Refer to data provided in 1b but do not repeat here. Discuss any progress on improvement (trends in performance results, number and percentage of people receiving high-quality healthcare; Geographic area and number and percentage of accountable entities and patients included.)**

**If no improvement was demonstrated, what are the reasons? If not in use for performance improvement at the time of initial endorsement, provide a credible rationale that describes how the performance results could be used to further the goal of high-quality, efficient healthcare for individuals or populations.**

#### **4b2. Unintended Consequences**

The benefits of the performance measure in facilitating progress toward achieving high-quality, efficient healthcare for individuals or populations outweigh evidence of unintended negative consequences to individuals or populations (if such evidence exists).

**4b2.1. Please explain any unexpected findings (positive or negative) during implementation of this measure including unintended impacts on patients.**

**4b2.2. Please explain any unexpected benefits from implementation of this measure.**

## 5. Comparison to Related or Competing Measures

If a measure meets the above criteria and there are endorsed or new related measures (either the same measure focus or the same target population) or competing measures (both the same measure focus and the same target population), the measures are compared to address harmonization and/or selection of the best measure.

### 5. Relation to Other NQF-endorsed Measures

Are there related measures (conceptually, either same measure focus or target population) or competing measures (conceptually both the same measure focus and same target population)? If yes, list the NQF # and title of all related and/or competing measures.

Yes

#### 5.1a. List of related or competing measures (selected from NQF-endorsed measures)

0004 : Initiation and Engagement of Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse or Dependence Treatment

#### 5.1b. If related or competing measures are not NQF endorsed please indicate measure title and steward.

### 5a. Harmonization of Related Measures

The measure specifications are harmonized with related measures;

OR

The differences in specifications are justified

#### 5a.1. If this measure conceptually addresses EITHER the same measure focus OR the same target population as NQF-endorsed measure(s):

Are the measure specifications harmonized to the extent possible?

No

#### 5a.2. If the measure specifications are not completely harmonized, identify the differences, rationale, and impact on interpretability and data collection burden.

The measures are similar in that they identify the population through the use of codes to determine dependence, although the The Joint Commission measure also allows for narrative documentation. The age range of the measures is different with 0004 beginning at age 13 and the The Joint Commission measure at 18 years of age. It appears that measure 0004 may be derived from administrative data, while in the The Joint Commission measure only some of the data elements are available electronically.

### 5b. Competing Measures

The measure is superior to competing measures (e.g., is a more valid or efficient way to measure);

OR

Multiple measures are justified.

#### 5b.1. If this measure conceptually addresses both the same measure focus and the same target population as NQF-endorsed measure(s):

Describe why this measure is superior to competing measures (e.g., a more valid or efficient way to measure quality); OR provide a rationale for the additive value of endorsing an additional measure. (Provide analyses when possible.)

Not applicable as this measure is relevant to the outpatient setting so the target population is different.

## Appendix

**A.1 Supplemental materials may be provided in an appendix.** All supplemental materials (such as data collection instrument or methodology reports) should be organized in one file with a table of contents or bookmarks. If material pertains to a specific submission form number, that should be indicated. Requested information should be provided in the submission form and required attachments. There is no guarantee that supplemental materials will be reviewed.

<b>Attachment:</b>
<b>Contact Information</b>
<p><b>Co.1 Measure Steward (Intellectual Property Owner):</b> The Joint Commission</p> <p><b>Co.2 Point of Contact:</b> JohnMarc, Alban, jalban@jointcommission.org, 630-792-5304-</p> <p><b>Co.3 Measure Developer if different from Measure Steward:</b> The Joint Commission</p> <p><b>Co.4 Point of Contact:</b> Jerod M., Loeb, jloeb@jointcommission.org, 630-792-5920-</p>
<b>Additional Information</b>
<p><b>Ad.1 Workgroup/Expert Panel involved in measure development</b>  <b>Provide a list of sponsoring organizations and workgroup/panel members' names and organizations. Describe the members' role in measure development.</b>  The technical advisory panel determined priority areas in substance abuse for measure development. They reviewed public comments and were actively involved in all phases of the project to identify and develop the numerator and denominator statements. Measure recommendations for National Quality Forum endorsement were made after careful review of the pilot results and site feedback.</p>
<p><b>Measure Developer/Steward Updates and Ongoing Maintenance</b>  <b>Ad.2 Year the measure was first released:</b> 2011  <b>Ad.3 Month and Year of most recent revision:</b> 07, 2012  <b>Ad.4 What is your frequency for review/update of this measure?</b> Biannually  <b>Ad.5 When is the next scheduled review/update for this measure?</b> 07, 2013</p>
<p><b>Ad.6 Copyright statement:</b> The Specifications Manual for National Hospital Inpatient Quality Measures (Specifications Manual) is the result of the collaborative efforts of the Centers for Medicare &amp; Medicaid Services (CMS) and The Joint Commission to publish a uniform set of national hospital quality measures. A primary objective of this collaborative effort is to promote and enhance the utility of these measures for all hospitals.</p> <p>No royalty or use fee is required for copying or reprinting this manual, but the following are required as a condition of usage: 1) disclosure that the Specifications Manual is periodically updated, and that the version being copied or reprinted may not be up-to-date when used unless the copier or printer has verified the version to be up-to-date and affirms that, and 2) users participating in the QIO supported initiatives, the Hospital Inpatient Quality Reporting Program, and Joint Commission accreditation; including performance measures systems; are required to update their software and associated documentation based on the published manual production timelines.</p> <p>Example Acknowledgement: The Specifications Manual for National Hospital Inpatient Quality Measures [Version xx, Month, Year] is the collaborative work of the Centers for Medicare &amp; Medicaid Services and The Joint Commission. The Specifications Manual is periodically updated by the Centers for Medicare &amp; Medicaid Services and The Joint Commission. Users of the Specifications Manual for National Hospital Inpatient Quality Measures must update their software and associated documentation based on the published manual production timelines.</p>
<b>Ad.7 Disclaimers:</b>
<b>Ad.8 Additional Information/Comments:</b>